UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 Case No.: 3:19-cv-00038-MMD-WGC JARED EDWARD BEEBE, 4 Order Plaintiff 5 Re: ECF No. 89 v. 6 NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF 7 CORRECTIONS DIRECTOR, et. al., 8 Defendants 9 10 Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF Nos. 79, 79-1 to 79-3, 81-1 to 11 83-4, errata at ECF Nos. 85-1, 85-1.) Plaintiff filed a response. (ECF No. 84.) Defendants filed a 12 reply. (ECF No. 87.) Plaintiff then filed a response to Defendants' reply. (ECF No. 89.) 13 Local Rule 7-2 contemplates the filing of a motion, response, and reply. "Surreplies [a 14 response to a reply brief are not permitted without leave of court; motions for leave to file a 15 || surreply are discouraged." LR 7-2(b). Supplemental briefing is likewise prohibited, unless the 16 party obtains leave of court. LR 7-2(g). A supplemental filing made without leave of court may 17|| be stricken. LR 7-2(g). 18 Plaintiff did not seek leave of court to file a sur-reply; therefore, Plaintiff's sur-reply (ECF No. 89) is STRICKEN. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 26, 2021 21 Willen G. Cobb 22 William G. Cobb 23 United States Magistrate Judge